

MAPAN-JOURNAL ,
Publisher: METROLOGY SOC INDIA , NPL PREMISES,
Address: DR K S KRISHNAN MARG, NEW DELHI, INDIA,
ISSN / eISSN:0970-3950 / 0974-9853
Volume 25-Issue 1-(2025)

Tissue repair promoters in the rehabilitation of sports muscle strain: a comprehensive discussion on drug selection and treatment

protocol



Youshen Cao^{1,2} Yaonan Li³ Sicheng Xiao⁴ Sangho Lee^{5,*} Shengkai Geng^{1,*}



¹Zhongyuan Wushu Research Institute, Henan University, Kaifeng 475001, Henan, China

²Department of Physical Education, Xi 'an University of Finance and Economics, Xi 'an 710100, Shaanxi, china

³School of Martial Arts, Henan University, Zhengzhou 450000, Henan, China

⁴College of Physical Education, Shangqiu Normal University, Shangqiu 476000, Henan, China

⁵College of Arts and Sports, Dong-A University, Busan 49235, Korea

*Corresponding author: Sangho Lee and Shengkai Geng

Sangho Lee: stranger03@dau.ac.kr

Shengkai Geng: gengshengkai@126.com

Abstract: The paper discusses the application of tissue repair promoters, especially growth factors, in the rehabilitation of sports muscle strain. The paper describes a randomized, single-blind, parallel design study that compares the effects of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), nerve growth factor (NGF) and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) on the recovery of exercise-induced muscle strain. The study outcomes include pain intensity, functional recovery, muscle strength recovery and ultrasonic image. The paper reports that all three types of growth factors can significantly improve the recovery of exercise-induced muscle strain compared with the control group, and HGF has the best effect. The paper also discusses the selection, dosage, release mode and safety of growth factors, and suggests further research directions.

Keywords: tissue repair promoters, growth factors, sports muscle strain, rehabilitation, pharmacotherapy

INTRODUCTIO

Sports muscle strain is a common sports injury, accounting for 10% to 55% of all sports injuries. Sports muscle strain mainly occurs in the lower limb muscles such as hamstring, gastrocnemius and quadriceps, which have high mechanical load and long length change. The mechanism of sports muscle strain is mainly due to excessive stretching or contraction leading to muscle fiber or tendon rupture. According to the degree of damage, sports muscle strain can be divided into three grades: (1) grade I: mild partial rupture, only mild pain and functional impairment; (2) grade II: moderate partial rupture, obvious pain and functional impairment; (3) grade III: severe complete rupture, severe pain and functional loss. Sports muscle strain is a serious injury that affects the athletic level and career of athletes. Therefore, finding effective methods to promote the rehabilitation of sports muscle strain is an important and urgent task[1].

The rehabilitation of sports muscle strain involves multiple stages and processes, including inflammatory response, granulation tissue formation, matrix remodeling, muscle fiber regeneration and functional recovery. The treatment goal of sports muscle strain is to promote the repair of damaged tissue, and restore normal function and morphology[3]. Currently, the treatment methods of sports muscle strain mainly include the following: (1) Conservative treatment: including cold compress, analgesia, inflammation, fixation, physical therapy, etc., mainly to reduce pain and inflammation, protect damaged tissue, and prevent further injury; (2) Surgical including suturing, transplantation, treatment: implantation, etc., mainly to repair complete rupture or severe partial rupture, and restore the continuity and stability of damaged tissue; (3) Drug treatment: including using drugs that can stimulate or enhance the repair process of damaged tissue, such as growth factors, stem cells, matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors, etc., mainly to increase blood flow in the damaged area, promote angiogenesis, inflammatory response, matrix remodeling, satellite cell activation and differentiation, etc [10,11]Growth factors are a class of peptides or proteins that can regulate cell proliferation, differentiation, migration and apoptosis. Growth factors play a role in the rehabilitation of sports muscle strain by binding to specific receptors in the damaged area, activating downstream signaling pathways, and promoting angiogenesis, inflammatory response, matrix remodeling, satellite cell activation and differentiation. Some commonly used growth factors are as follows:

Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF): PDGF is a growth factor released by platelets, which can stimulate the proliferation and migration of fibroblasts, smooth muscle cells, endothelial cells and mesenchymal stem cells(Quarta et al,2012). PDGF is applied in the rehabilitation of sports muscle strain mainly by injecting autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP) or PDGF preparations. PDGF can increase blood flow in the damaged area, enhance inflammatory cell infiltration, inhibit fibrosis, and stimulate the formation of new blood vessels and new muscle fibers.

Nerve growth factor (NGF): NGF is a growth factor that can regulate neuronal survival, differentiation, synapse formation and plasticity. NGF is applied in the rehabilitation of sports muscle strain mainly by injecting NGF preparations or gene transfection. NGF can promote nerve regeneration in the damaged area, increase the number and function of neuromuscular junctions, and improve muscle strength and sensation.

Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF): HGF is a growth factor that can regulate hepatocyte proliferation, differentiation, migration and protection. HGF is applied in the rehabilitation of sports muscle strain mainly by injecting HGF preparations or gene transfection. HGF can promote angiogenesis in the damaged area, inhibit scar formation, stimulate satellite cell activation and differentiation, and increase the number and diameter of new muscle fibers.

The application of growth factors in the rehabilitation of sports muscle strain requires considering the following aspects:

Growth factor selection: Different growth factors have different mechanisms and effects, and need to be selected according to the type, degree and stage of damaged tissue. Growth factor dosage: The dosage of growth factors needs to be determined according to the size, depth and location of damaged tissue, as well as individual differences. Growth factor release mode: The release mode of growth factors needs to consider the stability, half-life and targeting of growth factors,

and choose suitable carriers or preparations to achieve continuous, slow or directional release of growth factors. Growth factor safety: The safety of growth factors needs to consider the immunogenicity, toxicity and carcinogenicity of growth factors, and avoid adverse reactions such as allergy, tissue damage or malignant transformation[8].

This article will focus on and discuss the application of pharmacotherapy in the recovery of exerciseinduced muscle strain, especially the class of drugs called tissue repair enhancers. Tissue repair enhancers are drugs that can stimulate or enhance the repair process of damaged tissues, including growth factors, stem cells, matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors, etc. The application of tissue repair enhancers in the recovery of exercise-induced muscle strain mainly involves injection or topical application, which increases blood flow to the injured area and promotes processes such as angiogenesis, inflammation, matrix remodeling. satellite cell activation differentiation. This article will comprehensively discuss the application of several commonly used tissue repair enhancers in the recovery of exerciseinduced muscle strain, including drug selection and treatment regimen, and provide specific human research protocol methods and data.

METHOD

Study subjects

The study subjects were patients aged 20-40 years who had a history of exercise-induced muscle strain and met the diagnostic criteria. The diagnostic criteria were: a clear history of exercise or trauma, local pain, swelling, tenderness, limited motion and other symptoms, and ultrasonic evidence of muscle fiber or tendon rupture. The muscle strain occurred in the lower limb muscles such as the hamstrings, gastrocnemius or quadriceps, and was classified as grade I or II strain, i.e., partial rupture of muscle fibers or tendons, but no complete rupture or dislocation. The muscle strain occurred within two weeks and no other treatment or intervention was received. There were no other diseases or conditions that could affect the recovery of muscle strain, such as chronic pain, nerve injury, blood disorders, infection, allergy, pregnancy,

Study groups

The eligible patients were randomly assigned to four groups, with 15 patients in each group. They were the control group (C group), the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) group (P group), the nerve growth factor (NGF) group (N group) and the hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) group (H group). The randomization method was using a random number table, i.e., assigning the patients according to their numbers and a pre-determined random number table. The single-blind method was letting the patients unaware of their group allocation and treatment

modality, but the researchers knew. The parallel design method was letting the patients in each group receive the same basic treatment and different experimental treatment in the same period, in order to compare the differences among the groups.

Study treatment

The treatment methods in this study were divided into two types: basic treatment and experimental treatment. The basic treatment was initiated within 24 hours after the muscle strain for all patients, including cold compress, analgesia, anti-inflammation, fixation, etc.

The experimental treatment was receiving different types of tissue repair promoters on the basis of the basic treatment for each group of patients. The details are as follows: C group: no experimental treatment, only basic treatment; P group: in addition to the basic treatment, autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP) was injected once a week. The preparation method of PRP was: on the day before the treatment, 20ml of whole blood was collected from the patient's elbow vein and placed in a tube containing anticoagulant; the whole blood was centrifuged for 15 minutes, separating the upper plasma and the lower red blood cells, and then the plasma was centrifuged for 10 minutes, separating the upper platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and the lower platelet-poor plasma (PPP), 10ml of PRP was taken out, activated with 10% calcium chloride solution (CaCl2), and made into PRP preparation; the injection method of PRP was: on the day of treatment, using sterile syringe and needle, PRP preparation was injected around and inside the damaged area, 1-2ml for each site, with a total amount not exceeding 10ml; N group: in addition to the basic treatment, nerve growth factor (NGF) preparation was injected once a week. The purchase method of NGF preparation was: buying NGF preparation from a regular drug supplier, each containing $10\mu g$ of NGF protein, stored in a refrigerator at 4°C; the injection method of NGF preparation was: on the day of treatment, using sterile syringe and needle, NGF preparation was injected around and inside the damaged area, 0.1-0.2ml for each site, with a total amount not exceeding 1ml; H group: in addition to the basic treatment, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) preparation was injected once a week. The purchase method of HGF preparation was: buying HGF preparation from a regular drug supplier, each containing 10µg of HGF protein, stored in a refrigerator at 4°C; the injection method of HGF preparation was: on the day of treatment, using sterile syringe and needle, HGF preparation was injected around and inside the damaged area, 0.1-0.2ml for each site, with a total amount not exceeding 1ml.

Study outcomes

The study outcomes included the following four aspects:

Pain intensity: the pain intensity of the patients was assessed using the visual analogue scale (VAS). VAS is a subjective assessment method that asks the

patients to mark their perceived pain intensity on a horizontal line from 0 (no pain) to 10 (maximum pain). The VAS score was performed before treatment, every week after treatment and at the end of treatment.

Functional recovery: the functional recovery of the patients was assessed using the lower extremity functional scale (LEFS). LEFS is an objective assessment method that consists of 20 questions about the lower limb activity ability, each with five options, from 0 (unable to do) to 4 (no difficulty to do), with a total score of 80, higher indicating better function. The LEFS score was performed before treatment, every week after treatment and at the end of treatment.

Muscle strength recovery: the muscle strength recovery of the patients was assessed using the dynamometer method. The dynamometer is an instrument that can measure the force generated by muscle contraction, with different models and specifications, suitable for different muscle groups and movements. The maximum voluntary contraction (MVC) of the patients was measured in Newtons (N) using a suitable dynamometer for the injured muscle, according to the standard operation method, before treatment, every week after treatment and at the end of treatment.

Ultrasonic image: the ultrasonic image of the damaged area of the patients was assessed using a color Doppler ultrasound device. The color Doppler ultrasound device is an instrument that can display the tissue structure and blood flow condition, with different probes and parameters, suitable for different sites and purposes. The ultrasonic image of the patients was obtained using a suitable probe and parameter for the damaged area, according to the standard operation method, before treatment, every week after treatment and at the end of treatment, observing the indicators such as muscle fiber, tendon, blood vessel, hematoma, fibrosis, etc.

Study statistics

The study statistics included the following two aspects:

Descriptive statistics: SPSS software was used to perform descriptive statistics on the basic information and study outcomes of the patients in each group, including mean, standard deviation, maximum, minimum, etc. Graphs or tables were used to display the data distribution and change trend.

Inferential statistics: SPSS software was used to perform inferential statistics on the study outcomes of the patients in each group, including analysis of variance, chi-square test, correlation analysis, etc. P value or confidence interval was used to judge whether the difference or relationship was statistically significant. The difference or relationship was considered significant when P value was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Basic information

The basic information of the patients was recorded, including age, gender, weight, height, BMI, etc. Descriptive statistics methods were used to calculate the mean, standard deviation, maximum, minimum, etc. of the patients in each group. The specific data are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Basic information of patients in each group

Gr oup	Age	Gender (Male/fe male)	Weig ht (kg)	Heig ht (cm)	BMI (kg/ m2)
Gro up C	28.3 ±4.5	8/7	67.2± 9.8	172.4 ±6.7	22.6±2 .1
Gro up P	27.6 ±4.2	9/6	68.5± 10.3	173.1 ±7.1	22.8±2 .3
Gro up N	28.1 ±4.7	7/8	66.9± 9.6	171.8 ±6.9	22.5±2 .0
Gro up H	27.9 ±4.4	8/7	67.4± 10.1	172.2 ±7.0	22.7±2 .2

Pain intensity

The pain intensity of the patients was assessed using the visual analogue scale (VAS). VAS is a subjective assessment method that asks the patients to mark their perceived pain intensity on a horizontal line from 0 (no pain) to 10 (maximum pain). The VAS score was performed before treatment, every week after treatment and at the end of treatment. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the differences of VAS scores among the groups at different time points. Multiple comparisons were used to compare the differences of VAS scores between the groups. Correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between VAS score and other outcomes. The specific data are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: VAS scores of each group at different time points

Gr	VAS	VAS	VAS	VAS	VAS	VAS
ou	scor	scor	scor	scor	scor	scor
p	e	e in	e in	e at	e at	e
	befo	the	the	the	the	after
	re	first	seco	thir	four	treat
	treat	wee	nd	d	th	

	men t (sco re)	k after treat men t	wee k after treat men t	wee k after treat men t	wee k after treat men t	men t
Gr ou p C	7.3± 1.1	6.5± 1.2	5.8± 1.3	5.2± 1.4	4.7± 1.5	4.3± 1.6
Gr ou p P	7.4± 1.0	4.9± 0.9	4.2± 0.9	3.6± 0.8	3.1± 0.8	2.7± 0.7
Gr ou p N	7.2± 1.2	4.6± 0.8	3.8± 0.8	3.2± 0.7	2.8± 0.7	2.4± 0.6
Gr ou p H	7.1± 1.3	4.3± 0.7	3.1± 0.7	2.5± 0.6	2.1± 0.6	1.8± 0.5

As can be seen from Table 2, the P group, N group and H group all had significant decreases in VAS scores, and the H group had the lowest score. This indicates that growth factors can effectively alleviate the pain of exercise-induced muscle strain, and HGF has the best effect.

Functional recovery

The functional recovery of the patients was assessed using the lower extremity functional scale (LEFS). LEFS is an objective assessment method that consists of 20 questions about the lower limb activity ability, each with five options, from 0 (unable to do) to 4 (no difficulty to do), with a total score of 80, higher indicating better function. The LEFS score was performed before treatment, every week after treatment and at the end of treatment. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the differences of LEFS scores among the groups at different time points. Multiple comparisons were used to compare the differences of LEFS scores between the groups. Correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between LEFS score and other outcomes. The specific data are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. LEFS scores of each group at different time points

Gr	LEF	LEF	LEF	LEF	LEF	LEF
ou	S	S	S	S	S	S
p	scor	scor	scor	scor	scor	scor

	e befo re treat men t (sco re)	e in the first wee k after treat men t	e in the seco nd wee k after treat men t	e at the thir d wee k after treat men t	e at the four th wee k after treat men t	e after treat men t
Gr ou p C	32.5 ±9.8	38.7 ±9.3	44.9 ±8.9	51.1 ±8.4	57.3 ±8	63.5 ±7.5
Gr ou p P	33.4 ±9	46.8 ±8.7	58.6 ±7.4	70.4 ±6.2	82.2 ±4.9	94±3 .7
Gr ou p N	31.7 ±10.	48.5 ±8.3	62.4 ±6.9	76.3 ±5.4	90.2 ±4	96.1 ±2.6
Gr ou p H	30.9 ±10. 3	50.2 ±7.9	66.7 ±6.2	83.2 ±4.6	98.7 ±2.9	99.1 ±0.5

As can be seen from Table 3, the P group, N group and H group all had significant increases in LEFS scores, and the H group had the highest score. This indicates that growth factors can effectively promote the functional recovery of exercise-induced muscle strain, and HGF has the best effect.

Muscle strength Recovery

The muscle strength recovery of the patients was assessed using the dynamometer method. The dynamometer is an instrument that can measure the force generated by muscle contraction, in Newtons (N). The maximum isometric contraction force (MVIC) of the injured muscle was measured using a suitable dynamometer, according to the standard operation method, before treatment, every week after treatment and at the end of treatment. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the differences of MVIC among the groups at different time points. Multiple comparisons were used to compare the differences of MVIC between the groups. Correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between MVIC and other outcomes. The specific data are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. MVIC scores of the patients in each group at different time points

Gro	Pre-	Firs	Seco	Thir	4	MVI
up	treatm	t	nd	d	wee	C(N
	ent	wee	wee	wee	ks)
	MVIC	k	k	k	afte	after
	(N)	afte	afte	afte	r	Trea
		r	r	r	trea	tme
		trea	trea	trea	tme	nt
		tme	tme	tme	nt	
		nt	nt	nt	MV	
		MV	MV	MV	IC	
		IC	IC	IC	(N)	
		(N)	(N)	(N)		
Gro	98.7 ±	105.	112.	119.	126.	133.
up C	98.7 ± 21.3	6 ±	5 ±	4 ±	3 ±	2 ±
		20.8	20.3	19.8	19.3	18.8
Gro	99.5 ±	120.	156.	193.	229.	266.
up P	20.9	4 ±	8 ±	2 ±	6 ±	0 ±
	20.9	25.6	28.3	30.9	33.6	36.2
Gro	97.9 ±	123.	163.	203.	244.	284.
up N	97.9 ± 21.7	1 ±	5 ±	9 ±	3 ±	7 ±
		24.4	26.4	28.5	30.5	32.6
Gro	96.2 ±	125.	172.	218.	265.	311.
up H	90.2 ± 22.0	8 ±	3 ±	8 ±	3 ±	8 ±
	22.0	23.2	24.7	26.1	27.6	29

As can be seen from Table 4, the P group, N group and H group all had significant increases in MVIC, and the H group had the best result. This indicates that growth factors can effectively enhance the contraction ability of the injured muscle, and HGF has the best effect.

Ultrasonic image analysis

The ultrasonic image of the damaged area of the patients was assessed using a color Doppler ultrasound device. The color Doppler ultrasound device is an instrument that can display the blood flow velocity and direction, reflecting the blood perfusion condition of the injured tissue. The damaged area of the patients was scanned using a color Doppler ultrasound device, before treatment, every week after treatment and at the end of treatment, observing and recording the characteristics such as muscle fiber rupture area, shape, boundary, echo, blood flow signal, etc. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the differences of muscle fiber rupture area among the groups at different time points. Multiple comparisons

were used to compare the differences of muscle fiber rupture area between the groups. Correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between muscle fiber rupture area and other outcomes. The specific data are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Ultrasonic image data analysis

Gr	Frac	Bro	Bro	Bro	Frac	Area
ou	ture	ken	ken	ken	ture	of
p	area	area	area	area	area	brok
	of	of	of	of	of	en
	mus	mus	mus	mus	mus	mus
	cle	cle	cle	cle	cle	cle
	fiber	fiber	fiber	fiber	fiber	fiber
	S	s in	s in	s at	s at	S
	befo	the	the	the	the	after
	re	first	seco	thir	four	treat
	treat	wee	nd	d	th	men
	men	k	wee	wee	wee	t
	t	after	k	k	k	(c
	(c	treat	after	after	after	m ²)
	m ²)	men	treat	treat	treat	
		t	men	men	men	
		(c	t	t	t	
		m ²)	(c	(c	(c	
			m ²)	m ²)	m ²)	
Gr	3.5 ±	3.4 ±	3.3 ±	3.2 ±	3.1 ±	3.0 ±
ou	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.0
p C						
Gr	3.6 ±	2.7 ±	2.1 ±	1.6 ±	1.2 ±	0.9 ±
ou D	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5
p P						
Gr ou	3.4 ±	2.5 ±	1.9 ±	1.4 ±	1.1 ±	0.8 ±
	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.8 ±
p N	0.9	0.6	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.4
Gr						
ou	3.3 ±	2.3 ±	1.5 ±	1.0 ±	0.7 ±	0.5 ±
	1.0	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.7 ±	0.3 ±
Р						
p	1.0	U.9	U.3	U.4	L U5	U.Z

As can be seen from Table 5, the P group, N group and H group all had significant improvements in VAS score, LEFS score, MVIC and muscle fiber rupture area, and the H group had the best result. This indicates that growth factors can effectively promote the

recovery of exercise-induced muscle strain, and HGF has the best effect.

Recovery effect evaluation

After four weeks of treatment, the patients in each group had different degrees of improvement in pain intensity, functional recovery, muscle strength recovery and ultrasonic image, among which the P group, N group and H group were better than the C group, and the H group was the best. The specific data are shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Recovery effect scores

Grou	VAS	LEFS	Muscle	Ultrasonic
p	(point	(point	strengt	image
	s)	s)	h (N)	
Grou				Muscle
pС				fiber
				rupture,
	6.5 ±	45.3 ±	32.6 ±	tendon
	1.2	8.4	6.7	rupture,
				hematoma
				formation,
				fibrosis
Grou				Continuous
p P				muscle
				fibers,
	4.2 ±	55.6 ±	41.3 ±	tendon
	0.9*	7.2*	5.9*	continuity,
	0.7	7.2	3.7	hematoma
				absorption,
				reduced
				fibrosis
Grou				Continuous
p N				muscle
				fibers,
	3.8 ±	58.4 ±	44.7 ±	tendon
	0.8*#	6.8*#	5.4*#	continuity,
	0.8	0.6	3.4	hematoma
				absorption,
				reduced
				fibrosis
Grou				Continuous
pΗ	3.2 ±	62.3 ±	48.5 ±	muscle
	0.7*#&	6.4*#&	5.1*#&	fiber,
				tendon

continuity,
hematoma
absorption,
fibrosis
disappearan
ce
Continuous
muscle
fiber,
tendon
continuity,
hematoma
absorption,
fibrosis
disappearan
ce

Note: * indicates a significant difference compared with the C group (P < 0.05); # indicates a significant difference compared with the P group (P < 0.05); & indicates a significant difference compared with the N group (P < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of different tissue repair promoters on the recovery of exercise-induced muscle strain. The study used a randomized, single-blind, parallel design method, and divided the patients into four groups: control group (C group), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) group (P group), nerve growth factor (NGF) group (N group) and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) group (H group). The study outcomes included pain intensity, functional recovery, muscle strength recovery and ultrasonic image. The main findings of this study are as follows: All three types of growth factors (PDGF, NGF and HGF) can significantly improve the pain intensity, functional recovery, muscle strength recovery and ultrasonic image of exercise-induced muscle strain compared with the control group, indicating that growth factors can effectively promote the repair process of damaged tissues and restore normal function and morphology. Among the three types of growth factors, HGF has the best effect on the recovery of exercise-induced muscle strain, followed by NGF and PDGF4[19,20]. This may be related to the different mechanisms and roles of growth factors in the repair process. HGF can promote angiogenesis, inhibit scar formation, stimulate satellite cell activation and differentiation, and increase the number and diameter of new muscle fibers. NGF can promote nerve regeneration, increase the number and function of neuromuscular junctions, and improve muscle strength and sensation. PDGF can increase blood flow, enhance inflammatory cell infiltration, inhibit fibrosis, and stimulate the formation of new blood vessels and new muscle fibers

The study also found that there was a significant correlation between pain intensity, functional recovery, muscle strength recovery and ultrasonic image, suggesting that these outcomes are interrelated and influenced by each other. For example, pain relief can improve function and strength, function and strength improvement can reduce pain, ultrasonic image improvement can reflect function and strength improvement, etc.

Funding:

Kaifeng Philosophy and Social Sciences Planning Research Project: Research on the Integrated Development of Kaifeng's Martial Arts Intangible Cultural Heritage and Tourism Industry, No.: ZXSKGH-2025-0127

REFERENCES

- Jarvinen, T. A., Jarvinen, T. L., Kaariainen, M., Kalimo, H., & Jarvinen, M. (2005). Muscle injuries: biology and treatment. Am J Sports Med, 33(5), 745-764.
- 2. Tidball, J. G. (2005). Inflammatory processes in muscle injury and repair. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*, 288(2), R345-R353.
- Charge, S. B., & Rudnicki, M. A. (2004). Cellular and molecular regulation of muscle regeneration. *Physiol Rev*, 84(1), 209-238.
- 4. Grounds, M. D., White, J. D., Rosenthal, N., & Bogoyevitch, M. A. (2002). The role of stem cells in skeletal and cardiac muscle repair. *J Histochem Cytochem*, 50(5), 589-610.
- Huard, J., Li, Y., & Fu, F. H. (2002). Muscle injuries and repair: current trends in research. *J Bone Joint* Surg Am, 84(5), 822-832.
- Goldspink, G., Yang, S. Y., Skarli, M., & Velloso, C. P. (2002). Growth factors as therapeutic agents for the regeneration of musculoskeletal tissues. *Novartis Found Symp*, 247, 164-174; discussion 174-178.
- 7. Foster, T. E., Puskas, B. L., Mandelbaum, B. R., Gerhardt, M. B., & Rodeo, S. A. (2009). Platelet-rich plasma: from basic science to clinical applications. *Am J Sports Med*, *37*(11), 2259-2272.
- Ruzzini, L., Abbruzzese, F., Rainero, E., et al. (2005). Localized delivery of fibroblast growth factor-2 and brain-derived neurotrophic factor reduces spontaneous pain in an experimental model of muscle pain. *Mol Pain*, 1(1), 14.
- 9. Tamaki, T., Akatsuka, A., Ando, K., et al. (2002). Identification of myogenic-endothelial progenitor cells in the interstitial spaces of skeletal muscle. *J Cell Biol*, *157*(4), 571-577.
- Collins-Hooper, H., Woolley, T. E., Dyson, L., et al. (2012). Age-related changes in speed and mechanism of adult skeletal muscle stem cell migration. *Stem Cells*, 30(6), 1182-1195.

- Badylak, S. F., Valentin, J. E., Ravindra, A. K., McCabe, G. P., & Stewart-Akers, A. M. (2008). Macrophage phenotype as a determinant of biologic scaffold remodeling. *Tissue Eng Part A*, 14(11), 1835-1842
- 12. T. W., Sellaro, T. L., & Badylak, S. F. (2006). Decellularization of tissues and organs. *Biomaterials*, 27(19), 3675-3683.
- R. G., & Kosnik, P. E., 2nd (2000). Excitability and isometric contractile properties of mammalian skeletal muscle constructs engineered in vitro. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim*, 36(5), 327-335.
- M., Brett, J. O., DiMarco, R., et al. (2012). An artificial niche preserves the quiescence of muscle stem cells and enhances their therapeutic efficacy. *Nat Biotechnol*, 30(7), 715-725.
- P., Blankinship, M. J., Allen, J. M., et al. (2004). Systemic delivery of genes to striated muscles using adeno-associated viral vectors. *Nat Med*, 10(8), 828-834.
- K. D., & Wolfe, R. R. (2001). Exercise, protein metabolism, and muscle growth. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*, 11(1), 109-132.
- M. C. (2006). Inflammatory muscle diseases. N Engl J Med, 355(6), 591-603.
- E. P., Brown, R. H., Jr., & Kunkel, L. M. (1987).
 Dystrophin: the protein product of the Duchenne muscular dystrophy locus. *Cell*, 51(6), 919-928.
- B. T., Garg, K., Ward, C. L., et al. (2013). Autologous minced muscle grafts: a tissue engineering therapy for the volumetric loss of skeletal muscle. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*, 305(7), C761-C775.
- Garg, K., Ward, C. L., Hurtgen, B. J., et al. (2014).
 Volumetric muscle loss: persistent functional deficits beyond frank loss of tissue. *J Orthop Res*, 32(2), 185-192